www.nature.com/tp

ORIGINAL ARTICLE Evidence that COMT genotype and proline interact on negative-symptom outcomes in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder

CL Clelland^{1,2}, V Drouet^{2,6}, KC Rilett^{2,6}, JA Smeed², RH Nadrich^{3,4}, A Rajparia^{3,4}, LL Read⁵ and JD Clelland^{4,5}

Elevated peripheral proline is associated with psychiatric disorders, and there is evidence that proline is a neuromodulator. The proline dehydrogenase (*PRODH*) gene, which encodes the enzyme that catalyzes proline catabolism, maps to human chromosome 22q11.2, a region conferring risk of schizophrenia. In the *Prodh*-null mouse, an interaction between elevated peripheral proline and another 22q11.2 gene, catechol-*O*-methyltransferase (*COMT*), on neurotransmission and behavior has been reported. We explored the relationship between fasting plasma proline levels and *COMT* Val¹⁵⁸Met genotype on symptoms (positive, negative and total) in schizophrenia patients. In an exploratory study we also examined symptom change in patients with bipolar disorder. There was a significant interaction between peripheral proline and *COMT* on negative symptoms in schizophrenia (P < 0.0001, n = 95). In *COMT* Val/Val patients, high proline was associated with low Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptom (SANS) scores. In contrast, high proline was associated with high SANS scores in patients carrying a Met allele. The relationship between proline and COMT also appears to modify negative symptoms across psychiatric illness. In bipolar disorder, a significant interaction was also observed on negative-symptom change (P = 0.007, n = 43). Negative symptoms are intractable and largely unaddressed by current medications. These data indicate a significant interaction between peripheral proline has converse effects on symptoms by *COMT* genotype, may have implications for therapeutic decisions.

Translational Psychiatry (2016) 6, e891; doi:10.1038/tp.2016.157; published online 13 September 2016

INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia symptoms are typically divided into positive, negative and cognitive clusters, along with mood symptoms.¹ Positive symptoms, including hallucinations and delusions, show the greatest response to treatment, whereas cognitive symptoms, such as conceptual disorganization, and negative symptoms including avolition, blunted affect and social withdrawal, are largely unaddressed by current medications. Indeed, negative symptoms are among the most persistent and debilitating in schizophrenia, and contribute significantly to the huge personal and economic costs of severe psychiatric illness.²

Proline is a precursor of the neurotransmitter glutamate and may function as a central nervous system (CNS) neuromodulator (reviewed in Phang *et al.*³). Peripheral hyperprolinemia, which reflects CNS proline elevation,^{4–9} has been associated with psychiatric disorders including schizophrenia.^{10–12} The proline dehydrogenase gene (*PRODH*) encodes proline oxidase (POX), the enzyme that catalyzes the first step in proline catabolism. The direct consequences of elevated proline for neurotransmission have been best demonstrated by work on the hyperprolinemic *Prodh*-null model.^{7,8} In the presence of POX deficiency and elevated proline (peripheral and CNS), the mouse exhibits altered glutamate and dopamine signaling, including an enhancement of glutamatergic synaptic transmission, prefrontal dopamine

transmission, and functional hyperdopaminergic responses.⁸ Genetic association studies have also implicated *PRODH*, not only in schizophrenia,¹³ but also in the etiology of endophenotypes associated with schizophrenia.¹⁴

PRODH maps to chromosome 22q11.2, a region associated with the highest known genetic risk for schizophrenia, aside from that shared by monozygotic twins. In addition, this location is also associated with the hemizygous microdeletion found in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11DS), and there is an increased risk of schizophrenia as well as other psychotic, mood-, obsessive compulsive- and autism spectrum disorders in 22q11DS patients.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ Approximately 37–50% of 22q11DS patients have significant elevation of fasting plasma proline.²⁰

The catechol-*O*-methyltransferase gene (*COMT*) encodes the eponymous enzyme that methylates and inactivates catecholamines including dopamine, and also maps to 22q11.2, distal to *PRODH*. The *COMT* Val¹⁵⁸Met functional polymorphism (substitution of valine (Val) to methionine (Met) at residue 158), has been extensively studied with regards to dopamine neurotransmission, because Val/Val homozygotes have prefrontal cortical (PFC) enzyme activity ~40% higher than Met/Met homozygotes and are considered to have concomitant lower PFC dopamine levels.^{21,22} It has thus been suggested that the Val¹⁵⁸Met polymorphism modulates cognitive functioning (reviewed in Bilder *et al.*²³).

⁶These authors contributed equally to this work.

¹Department of Pathology and Cell Biology, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA; ²Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA; ³Bellevue Hospital Center, New York, NY, USA; ⁴Department of Psychiatry, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA and ⁵Movement Disorders and Molecular Psychiatry, The Nathan S. Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research, Orangeburg, NY, USA. Correspondence: CL Clelland, Department of Pathology and Cell Biology, Columbia University Medical Center, 630 West 168th Street, P&S 12-461A, New York, NY 10032, USA. E-mail: cc2786@cumc.columbia.edu

Received 26 February 2016; revised 26 May 2016; accepted 12 July 2016

| Characteristics | <i>Met/Met</i> n = 21 | <i>Val/Met</i> n = 32 | <i>Val/Val</i> n = 42 | P-value ^a |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Gender, n (%) | | | | 0.288 |
| Female | 11 (23.4) | 19 (40.4) | 17 (36.2) | |
| Male | 10 (20.8) | 13 (27.1) | 25 (52.1) | |
| Ethnicity, n (%) | | | | 0.096 |
| African American | 5 (13.5) | 10 (27.0) | 22 (59.5) | |
| Caucasian | 10 (35.7) | 10 (35.7) | 8 (28.6) | |
| Hispanic | 6 (20.0) | 12 (40.0) | 12 (40.0) | |
| Age (years), mean \pm s.d. | 40.9 ± 10.9 | 39.1 ± 11.5 | 39.9±11.6 | 0.820 |
| History of alcoholism, n (%) | | | | 0.426 |
| Neither | 17 (23.6) | 27 (37.5) | 28 (38.9) | |
| Abuse | 1 (10.0) | 2 (20.0) | 7 (70.0) | |
| Dependence | 3 (23.1) | 3 (23.1) | 7 (53.8) | |
| Duration of Illness (years), ^b mean \pm s.d. | 19.67 ± 12.62 | 13.11 ± 10.99 | 11.5 ± 10.23 | 0.136 |
| Hospital duration (days), r mean \pm s.d. | 19.1 ± 17.1 | 21.9 ± 23.4 | 20.0 ± 19.6 | 0.998 |
| Fasting plasma proline, μ mol l ⁻¹ | 219.9 ± 91.6 | 240.5 ± 68.6 | 246.4 ± 91.1 | 0.391 |
| Symptoms | | | | |
| BPRS ^d total symptoms, mean \pm s.d. | 32 <u>+</u> 8.5 | 33.6 ± 7.1 | 33.6 ± 8.4 | 0.500 |
| SAPS ^e total symptoms, mean \pm s.d. | 10.3 ± 8.3 | 15.8 <u>+</u> 9.6 | 18.2 ± 10.1 | 0.006 ^a |
| SANS ^f total symptoms, mean \pm s.d. | 24 ± 16.8 | 21.8 ± 13.1 | 17.5 ± 13.9 | 0.127 |
| Neuroleptic medications | | | | |
| Neuroleptic type, <i>n</i> (%) | | | | 0.348 |
| Typical only | 5 (27.8) | 3 (16.7) | 10 (55.6) | |
| Atypical only | 13 (22.4) | 19 (32.8) | 26 (44.8) | |
| Both | 3 (16.7) | 9 (50.0) | 6 (33.3) | |
| Daily CPZE dose, ^g mean \pm s.d. | 490.6 ± 234.0 | 571.1 ± 418.1 | 526.8 ± 281.0 | 0.981 |
| Mood-stabilizing medications | | | | |
| Mood stabilizer yes; n (%) | 15 (26.3) | 19 (33.3) | 23 (40.4) | 0.443 |
| VPA treatment yes; n (%) | 4 (12.9) | 11 (35.5) | 16 (51.6) | 0.327 |
| Other medications | | | | |
| Benzodiazapines, yes: n (%) | 4 (21.0) | 8 (42.1) | 7 (36.8) | 0.641 |
| Antidepressants, yes: <i>n</i> (%) | 1 (9.1) | 5 (45.4) | 5 (45.4) | 0.596 |

Abbreviation: COMT, catechol-O-methyltranserase; CPZE, chlorpromazine. ^aSignificant *P*-value when comparing characteristics across COMT genotypes. ^bDetermined as the period since the subjects' first hospitalization for psychiatric symptoms, n = 60 for whom this characteristic could be obtained. ^cDays in hospital prior to fasting blood draw. ^dBrief Psychiatric Rating Scale. ^eSchedule for Assessment of Positive Symptoms. ^fSchedule for Assessment of Negative Symptoms. ^gCPZE equivalent dose, n = 94 (as one subject's NL had no CPZ equivalent).

Although *COMT* has been associated with psychotic and mood disorders including schizophrenia and bipolar disorder,^{24,25} results have been inconsistent.²⁶

A CNS functional interaction between COMT and PRODH has been proposed by Paterlini et al., who suggested that significant cortical Comt upregulation in the Prodh-null mouse represents a compensatory response to increased PFC dopamine transmission, arising as a consequence of PRODH deficiency enhancing glutamatergic synaptic transmission.⁸ In addition, psychosis with positive symptoms,²⁰ decreased smooth pursuit eye movement,²⁷ and deficits in visual processing,²⁸ have been associated with high levels of plasma proline in 22q11DS patients carrying the lowactivity Met allele. Given these reports, and our finding of significantly elevated fasting peripheral proline in schizophrenia patients versus healthy controls,¹¹ we hypothesized that *COMT* may interact with proline level, modifying symptom domains in patients with schizophrenia. We therefore tested for effect modification between the Val¹⁵⁸Met COMT genotype and fasting peripheral proline on both positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia. To support our primary finding of an interaction on negative symptoms, and because negative symptoms are present across psychiatric disorders,^{29,30} in an exploratory study, we also assessed the relationship between proline and *COMT* on negative symptoms in bipolar disorder. Valproate (VPA) treatment is widely used in both disorders and increases peripheral proline levels,³¹ and so we further tested for a differential effect of VPA treatment, based upon *COMT* genotype.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Schizophrenia and bipolar disorder patients, aged 18–65 years, were recruited from Bellevue Hospital Center (BHC), a primary care facility, servicing relatively short-stay inpatients with acute psychiatric needs. The diagnosis of all patients was confirmed using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM IV Disorders. After description of the study to subjects, written informed consent was obtained in accordance with institutional review board regulations.

Demographics and group descriptive data for the schizophrenia sample are shown in Table 1. Although recruitment was not targeted by *COMT*, patients were well-matched across groups. For schizophrenia patients, recruitment was cross-sectional and independent of their duration of hospitalization. Psychiatric symptoms were measured using the Schedule

| Table 2.Demographics of bipolar disorder sample, $n = 43$ | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Characteristics | Met/Met n = 5 | <i>Val/Met</i> n = 22 | <i>Val/Val</i> n = 16 | P-value ^a | |
| Gender, n (%) | | | | 0.328 | |
| Female | 1 (6.2) | 11 (68.8) | 4 (25.0) | | |
| Male | 4 (14.8) | 11 (40.7) | 12 (44.4) | | |
| Ethnicity, n (%) | | | | 0.450 | |
| African American | 0 | 4 (57.1) | 3 (42.9) | | |
| Asian | 0 | 0 | 1 (100) | | |
| Caucasian | 3 (11.5) | 13 (50.0) | 10 (38.5) | | |
| Hispanic | 2 (22.2) | 5 (55.6) | 2 (22.2) | | |
| Age (years), mean \pm s.d. | 34 ± 9.7 | 32.8 ± 8.4 | 33.2±11.2 | 0.933 | |
| History of Alcoholism, n (%) | | | | 1.000 | |
| Abuse | 1 (7.1) | 8 (57.1) | 5 (35.7) | | |
| Dependence | 1 (12.5) | 4 (50.0) | 3 (37.5) | | |
| Neither | 3 (14.3) | 10 (47.6) | 8 (38.1) | | |
| Fasting proline, ^b μ mol I ⁻¹ | 213.6±72.7 | 205.5 ± 63.2 | 245.8±123.4 | 0.669 | |
| lllness duration (years) ^c , mean \pm s.d. | 5.5±6.8 | 4.9±6.0 | 9.3 ± 8.7 | 0.304 | |
| Days between symptom assessments, mean \pm s.d. | 10.2±6.2 | 9.4 ± 3.7 | 9.5 ± 5.1 | 0.382 | |

^a*P*-value when comparing Met allele carriers to Val/Val patients. ^bSampled at visit 2. ^cDetermined as the period since the subjects' first hospitalization for psychiatric symptoms, n=35 for whom this characteristic could be obtained.

for Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS), the Schedule for Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS) and the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS). Fasting proline levels of a subset of the schizophrenia patients, those who did not receive treatment with VPA, were reported previously.¹¹

Bipolar patients were recruited upon presentation at the BHC Comprehensive Psychiatric Emergency Program. Psychiatric symptoms in bipolar disorder patients were measured at an admission visit (visit 1), using the BPRS. At a follow-up inpatient ward visit (visit 2), fasting bloods were collected plus a repeat BPRS assessment performed. As for the schizophrenia sample, recruitment was not targeted by genotype, but subjects were well matched on demographic characteristics (Table 2) and medication use across genotype groups (Supplementary Table 1). In addition, as shown in Supplementary Figure 1, we tested for an association of elevated proline in bipolar disorder patients.

Determination of fasting plasma proline

Fasting morning blood draws were performed and proline measured in $\mu mol \ I^{-1}$ as reported. 11

Genotyping

DNA was extracted from blood using the Puregene Blood Core Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and the *COMT* fragment containing the Val¹⁵⁸Met polymorphism amplified using the 5'-3' primers: 5'-ACTGTGGGCTACTCAG CTGTG-3' and 5'-CCTTTTCCAGGTCTGACAA-3'. A step-down PCR was employed with an initial denaturation of 94 °C:15 min, then 12 cycles of 94 °C:30 s, 58 °C:45 s and 72 °C:30 s, followed by 31 cycles of 94 °C:30 s, 50 °C:45 s and 72 °C:30 s, with a final 72 °C:7 min extension. Nlalll recognizes and cleaves the amplicon into Val (114 bp) or Met (96 bp) fragments, visualized following electrophoreses. To confirm genotyping accuracy, 40% of samples were repeat assayed.

Statistical analysis

Demographic and clinical characteristics were compared across genotypes, using ANOVA, Kruskal–Wallis and Mann–Whitney tests, χ^2 or Fisher exact tests as appropriate. Genotype distributions were tested for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium using an exact test.

Multivariate analysis of covariance was employed to test the hypothesis of an interaction effect between *COMT* genotype and the continuous predictor variable fasting plasma proline, on schizophrenia symptoms (total SANS, SAPS and BPRS scores). Estimates of the interaction

coefficients were obtained from the multivariate regression model, and tested for significance across the three dependent variables, with Bonferroni correction for post hoc comparisons. Homogeneity of variance and covariance matrices assumptions were confirmed (P > 0.05) using Levine's and Box-M tests, respectively. Specific significant interaction effects that remained were assessed further via multivariable regression. To evaluate and then control for potential confounds between demographic and/or clinical characteristics with the dependent variable(s), covariates were entered into a bivariate linear regression and terms found to have Pvalues < 0.10 carried forward to a multivariable model. Gender was a covariate in all models, to adjust for previously reported proline gender differences.^{10,11,31} Multivariable model fit and selection was determined via Wald tests, testing the null hypothesis that non-significant (P > 0.05) covariate parameters were simultaneously equal to zero in full and subsequent reduced model. On the basis of the result from the schizophrenia study, in an exploratory analysis the primary outcome for bipolar patients was the BPRS-negative-symptom subscale,³² and percent reduction in negative symptoms calculated (for bipolar disorder patients, the range of BPRS scores at the blood draw visit (range 5-8) did not allow for crosssectional analysis). When outliers in the data or leverage points were identified, a robust regression procedure using an MM estimator to minimize data point effects (SASv9.3) was employed. All statistical tests were two-tailed. Means and s.d. are reported. Statistical analysis was performed in SASv9.3 and Stata ICv12, with graphs plotted in GGplot2v1.0.1 in Rv3.1.2.

RESULTS

COMT genotype modifies the relationship between proline and negative symptoms of schizophrenia

The schizophrenia sample (n = 95) was well-matched across genotype groups, and similar in size to previously published studies in 22q11DS reporting a significant *COMT*×proline interaction.^{27,28} There were no differences in BPRS total or negative symptoms (SANS total score, Table 1). As previously reported³³ Met/Met patients had significantly lower SAPS scores than Val/Met (Mann–Whitney z = 2.52, adjusted P = 0.035) or Val/ Val patients (z = 2.92, adjusted P = 0.001). We achieved 100% accuracy from confirmatory regenotyping and a sample of 90 control subjects were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium for *COMT* Val¹⁵⁸Met (P > 0.05, data not shown). *COMT* distributions of the schizophrenia patients deviated from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium ($\chi^2 = 8.08$, df = 1, P < 0.05), which has been previously reported for this polymorphism in schizophrenia.³⁴

Testing the primary hypothesis of an interaction effect on schizophrenia symptoms; results of the multivariate analysis of covariance for symptom scores showed a significant genotype × proline interaction, Wilk's $\lambda = 0.69$, $F_{(2,174)} = 6.01$, P < 0.0001 (Supplementary Table 2). Follow-up Wald tests with Bonferroni correction identified significant interaction effects specific to total SANS scores $F_{(2,89)} = 13.33$, adjusted P < 0.001 but no significant interaction effect on total SAPS $F_{(2,89)} = 2.97$, adjusted P = 0.168, or BPRS scores $F_{(2,89)} = 0.42$, adjusted P = 1.0, suggesting specificity of the relationship to negative symptoms.

To examine the significant multivariate analysis of covariance on total SANS scores, interaction effects were graphed in Figure 1a (Supplementary Figure 2). For schizophrenia patients with both the Met/Met and Val/Met genotypes, high proline was associated with high SANS scores, while conversely high proline in Val/Val patients was associated with lower-negative-symptom scores. Stratifying by COMT (Met allele carrier or Val/Val), for Met carriers every 100 µM increase in proline (~1 s.d. from the mean proline level), was associated with a SANS total score increase of over 8 points (β coefficient = 0.084, P = 0.001). Conversely, for Val/Val patients every 100 µM increase in proline decreased SANS total scores by nearly 7 points ($\beta = -0.067$, P = 0.003). Thus, at proline levels only ~1 s.d. above the group means, Met carriers with a fasting plasma proline of 332 µM have a predicated SANS score of 30, whereas Val/Val patients with proline of 346 µM have a predicted score of only 10. The significant interaction remained



following stratified analysis of ethnicity, which was found not to influence the interaction effect (Supplementary Table 3), stratification and then adjustment for duration of illness (determined as the period since the subjects' first hospitalization for psychiatric symptoms; Supplementary Table 4), and after removal of patients reporting alcohol abuse/dependence (P < 0.005, n = 72).

Figure 1. (a). The Interaction between proline and catechol-Omethyltransferase (COMT) genotype on negative symptoms in schizophrenia. There is a significant interaction between proline and COMT Val¹⁵⁸Met genotype on total SANS score (Initial Model, Table 3, P < 0.001). The graph shows this relationship plotted for patients with the Met/Met (n = 21, red diamonds), Val/Met (n = 32, blue triangles) and Val/Val (n = 42, green squares) genotypes. Lines represent the predicted values from the regression model for each genotype, with 95% confidence intervals shown. In both Met/Met and Val/Met patients, high proline levels are associated with high SANS scores. Conversely, there is a significant negative relationship in Val/Val patients, with high proline associated with lower levels of negative symptoms. (b) Negative symptoms in schizophrenia patients treated with valproate (VPA), by COMT genotype. The boxplot illustrates that negative symptoms, as assessed by SANS score, are significantly lower in VPA-treated patients with the Val/Val genotype (mean = 14.6 ± 12.67 . n = 15, green), as compared with grouped Met/Met (mean = 30.67 ± 17.1 , n = 3, red) and Val/Met (mean = 25.6 ± 17.93 , n = 10, blue) genotypes (F(1,26) = 4.63, P = 0.0408). Subjects were included in this analysis if they had received 48 h or more of VPA treatment,³⁵ within 48 h of the study visit, n = 28 (three subjects were dropped as they had < 48 h of VPA treatment). The actual minimum period of VPA treatment was 96 h. Black jittered points represent individual data. The horizontal line within each box represents the group median. The box indicates the IQR. The whiskers extend to the most extreme data point which is 1.5× the IQR. (c) The interaction between proline and COMT genotype on percent change in negative symptoms in bipolar disorder. There is a significant interaction between proline and COMT Val¹⁵⁸Met genotype on the percent reduction in negative symptoms (Initial Model Table 4, P = 0.04). The graph shows the relationship plotted for patients with the Met allele (n = 27, purple)diamonds) and Val/Val genotype (n = 16, green triangles). Lines represent the predicted values from the regression model. As proline rises Val/Val patients exhibit a greater percent reduction in their negative symptoms. Conversely, in Met allele carriers, increasing proline corresponds to lack of improvement, with unchanging or increasing negative symptoms. Negative symptoms were evaluated using the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale subscale³² consisting of items: 3 (emotional withdrawal); 13 (motor retardation); 14 (uncooperativeness); 16 (blunted affect); and 18 (disorientation). Percent change in symptoms was calculated using the following formula: ((negative symptoms at visit 2-negative symptoms at visit 1)/ negative symptoms at visit 1)×100%. IQR, interquartile range; SANS, Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptom.

Supporting our SANS finding, we also observed a significant interaction between *COMT* (Met carriers versus Val/Val patients) and high proline on the negative-symptom subscale of the BPRS (interaction $\beta = -0.0266$, P = 0.002).

Possible confounds on the *COMT* × proline interaction on total SANS score were assessed. Covariate analysis showed no relationship between SANS score and medication type, neuroleptic dose (summarized as daily chlorpromazine equivalents), duration of illness or the number of days in hospital prior to blood draw and symptom assessment (Supplementary Table 5). However, there was a relationship between SANS and ethnicity and alcohol use (P < 0.1) and along with gender these variables were taken forward to a multivariable model (Table 3). Model fit was determined with the final model retaining genotype (ordinal due to the graphed relationship observed in Figure 1a), proline, alcohol use and the highly significant *COMT*-proline interaction (P < 0.0001).

Valproate-treated COMT Val/Val schizophrenia patients have

significantly lower-negative symptoms than Met allele carriers An effect of VPA on plasma proline has been reported³¹ and VPAtreated schizophrenia patients in our study had significantly higher proline (mean: 299.29 ± 94.76, n = 28) than those who did not receive VPA (mean: 215.84 ± 63, n = 64; z = -3.97, P = 0.0001). Considering our finding of an interaction between *COMT* and

| | β coefficient | s.e. | Test statistic ^a | P-value | Wald test |
|---|---------------------|--------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Dependent Variable = Total SANS Score, $n = 95$ | | | | | |
| Initial Model ^b | | | | | |
| Proline | - 0.0870 | 0.0317 | 7.55 | 0.0002* | |
| COMT (ordinal Val/Val, Val/Met, Met/Met) | - 9.7339 | 4.0994 | 5.64 | 0.0176* | |
| Interaction (COMT × proline) | 0.0560 | 0.0167 | 13.06 | 0.0003* | |
| Full Model ^b | | | | | |
| Proline | - 0.1050 | 0.0333 | 9.94 | 0.0016* | |
| COMT (ordinal Val/Val, Val/Met, Met/Met) | - 13.0179 | 4.2452 | 9.40 | 0.0022* | |
| Interaction (COMT × proline) | 0.0744 | 0.0169 | 19.48 | < 0.0001* | |
| Alcohol use | | | | | |
| Alcohol abuse vs none | - 4.2178 | 4.2706 | 0.98 | 0.3233 | |
| Alcohol dependence vs none | - 9.0807 | 3.5632 | 6.49 | 0.0108* | |
| Gender | - 1.0466 | 2.3795 | 0.19 | 0.6600 | |
| Ethnicity | | | | | |
| African American vs Caucasian | 3.0258 | 3.0258 | 1.14 | 0.2866 | |
| African American vs Hispanic | 4.6703 | 2.8214 | 2.74 | 0.0979 | P=0.517 |
| Final Model ^b | | | | | |
| Proline | - 0.0804 | 0.0321 | 6.28 | 0.0122* | |
| COMT (ordinal Val/Val, Val/Met, Met/Met) | - 9.6576 | 4.0300 | 5.74 | 0.0166* | |
| Interaction (COMT × proline) | 0.0651 | 0.0161 | 16.39 | < 0.0001* | |
| Alcohol use | | | | | |
| Alcohol abuse vs none | - 5.1234 | 3.9854 | 1.65 | 0.1986 | |
| Alcohol dependence vs none | - 9.7478 | 3.3526 | 8.45 | 0.0036* | P = 0.020 |

Abbreviations: COMT, catechol-*O*-methyltransferase; SANS, Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptom. ${}^{a}\chi^{2}$ (Schizophrenia models using Robust linear regression). b Robust regression, MM Estimation Method. 56 cRobust Wald test: canonical linear hypothesis that combined effect of covariates (Gender and Ethnicity, bold) is zero. d Robust Wald test: covariate effect (Alcohol use, italics) is zero.

proline on negative symptoms, we next hypothesized that VPA-treated Val/Val patients would respond differently to the concomitant higher levels of proline, with respect to their negative symptoms, as compared with Met carriers. As shown in Figure 1b, VPA-treated Val/Val schizophrenia patients had significantly lower SANS total scores, averaging 12 points lower than Val/Met and Met/Met patients (β = -12.17, *P* = 0.041, *n* = 28). This result remained significant after adjusting for the dose of VPA administered in the 48 h prior to the blood draw (*P* = 0.043).

COMT genotype modifies the relationship between proline and negative-symptom change in bipolar disorder

As an exploratory analysis, we employed a second patient sample: 43 subjects with bipolar disorder who had completed a BPRS assessment upon admission to the psychiatric ER (visit 1) plus a second BPRS assessment and fasting blood draw during their follow-up visit (mean duration between assessments = 9.5 ± 4.6 days). Thus, for this sample we were able to explore the relationship between *COMT* and proline on the change in symptoms, calculating the percent reduction in negative symptoms from admission to follow-up.

The distribution of \dot{COMT} genotypes was in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium ($\chi^2 = 0.387$, df = 1, P > 0.05). Due to the finding in schizophrenia that Met allele carriers have a similar response to high proline, and because of the smaller bipolar sample size, Met/ Met and Val/Met bipolar patients were grouped together for further analysis.

We observed a significant interaction between *COMT* and fasting peripheral proline on the percent change in negative symptoms (interaction coefficient = -0.0017, P = 0.04, Table 4). As shown in Figure 1c, high proline was associated with a greater reduction of negative symptoms for Val/Val bipolar patients, but conversely, Met carrier patients with high proline levels had in general either no change or a positive change in symptoms,

suggesting a worsening of symptoms over time. Again, possible confounds were assessed (Supplementary Table 6). Regarding medication, although there was no relationship between change in negative symptoms and mood stabilizer use or neuroleptic dose, covariate analysis indicated that neuroleptic type and benzodiazepine use, plus the duration between visits, were predictors of the change in negative symptoms, as were the demographic characteristics of ethnicity and gender (P < 0.1, Supplementary Table 6). These covariates were taken forward to multivariable models (Table 4). Sequential Wald Tests were performed, determining goodness-of-fit, with the proline–*COMT* interaction remaining significant after adjustment for gender in the final model (interaction $\beta = -0.0021$, P = 0.0007).

As we found with the schizophrenia sample, bipolar VPAtreated patients had significantly higher fasting plasma proline than those who did not receive VPA (Supplementary Figure 3). However, although Val/Val-treated patients had a greater overall reduction in negative symptoms compared with Met carriers, this result did not reach significance likely due to the small sample.

DISCUSSION

The data presented in this study demonstrate that fasting peripheral proline and *COMT* Val¹⁵⁸Met genotype, predict negative-symptom severity in schizophrenia. Specifically, we present evidence that for inpatients with the Val/Val genotype (encoding the high-activity COMT enzyme), high proline was associated with lower levels of negative symptoms: as proline rose across the Val/Val patients, negative symptoms decreased. Conversely, Met allele carriers displayed the opposite relationship, exhibiting significantly more negative symptoms as proline levels rose. Over the range of fasting proline in our schizophrenia sample (87–502 µM), this represents a significant and clinically relevant difference in negative symptoms between *COMT* genotype groups.

| | β coefficient | s.e. | Test statistic ^a | P-value | Wald test |
|---|---------------------|--------|-----------------------------|---------|------------|
| Dependent Variable = % Change in BPRS Negative Symptoms Scale, $n = 43$ | | 1 | | | |
| Initial Model | | | | | |
| Proline | 0.0011 | 0.0006 | 1.69 | 0.099 | |
| COMT (Met allele vs Val/Val) | 0.3834 | 0.1862 | 2.06 | 0.046* | |
| Interaction (COMT × proline) | -0.0017 | 0.0007 | -2.42 | 0.040* | |
| Full Model | | | | | |
| Proline | 0.0012 | 0.0006 | 2.09 | 0.044* | |
| COMT (Met Allele v Val/Val) | 0.4281 | 0.1650 | 2.60 | 0.014* | |
| Interaction (COMT × proline) | -0.0017 | 0.0007 | -2.42 | 0.022* | |
| Gender | 0.1960 | 0.0656 | 2.99 | 0.005* | |
| Ethnicity | | | | | |
| African American vs Caucasian | 0.0186 | 0.0839 | 0.22 | 0.826 | |
| African American vs Hispanic | -0.1528 | 0.1052 | -1.45 | 0.156 | |
| Duration (days) between assessments | 0.0049 | 0.0070 | 0.69 | 0.492 | |
| Neuroleptic type | | | | | |
| Atypical neuroleptic vs none | -0.0802 | 0.0818 | -0.98 | 0.334 | |
| Typical Neuroleptic vs none | -0.1401 | 0.2087 | -0.67 | 0.507 | |
| Both vs none | -0.1531 | 0.1184 | -1.29 | 0.206 | |
| Benzodiazepines | -0.0840 | 0.0714 | -1.18 | 0.249 | P=0.056 |
| Final Model | | | | | |
| Proline | 0.0016 | 0.0006 | 2.55 | 0.015* | |
| COMT (Met Allele vs Val/Val) | 0.5029 | 0.1766 | 2.85 | 0.007* | |
| Interaction (COMT×proline) | -0.0021 | 0.0007 | -2.83 | 0.007* | |
| Gender | 0.1856 | 0.0656 | 2.83 | 0.007* | P = 0.0074 |

Abbreviations: BPRS, Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale; COMT, catechol-*O*-methyltransferase. ^at(bipolar models using linear regression). ^bWald test: canonical linear hypothesis that combined effect of covariates (Ethnicity, Duration, Neuroleptic Type and use of Benzodiazepines, bold) is zero. ^cWald test: covariate effect (Gender, italics) is zero.

VPA upregulates circulating proline and, in our study VPAtreated schizophrenia Val/Val patients had significantly lessnegative symptoms than VPA-treated Met allele patients, likely due to the impact of VPA on proline level. Our data have implications for treatment decisions, because proline-modulating medications, such as VPA which is commonly used to treat schizophrenia patients, may have beneficial, and conversely detrimental effects on negative symptoms, based upon the individual patient's Val¹⁵⁸Met genotype.

In a second sample; inpatients with bipolar disorder, we explored the interaction between *COMT* and proline on negative-symptom change (using the BPRS-negative-symptom subscale). Supporting our earlier schizophrenia finding, we observed a significant interaction between proline and *COMT*: high proline was associated with improvement of negative symptoms in homozygous Val/Val bipolar disorder patients, whereas high proline in Met allele carriers was associated with less improvement or an increase in negative-symptom severity. This finding was not confounded by medication use, the duration of time between assessments, or demographic characteristics of the bipolar sample. Interestingly, the bipolar patients did not have proline levels significantly higher than controls, suggesting that in contrast with schizophrenia,¹¹ proline may impact negative symptoms and their severity, but not bipolar disorder risk.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to document that proline and *COMT* interact to predict negative-symptom outcomes in psychiatric disorders. Our findings of a detrimental effect of high proline in combination with the *COMT* Met allele, on schizophrenia and bipolar disorder negative symptoms, is in part supported by studies of 22q11DS patients, who have an increased risk of psychosis (albeit exhibiting positive symptoms²⁰) plus a neurophysiological visual sensory deficit,²⁸ when carrying the Met allele in the presence of high proline. Moreover, Hidding *et al.*³⁶ recently reported the negative effect of high proline and hemizygous *COMT*^{Met} on autism spectrum symptoms in children and adolescents with 22q11DS, which is particularly relevant given the notable symptom similarities between autism and schizophrenia spectrum disorders.^{37,38}

Our finding that high proline is protective in Val/Val patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder is also novel and significant. Intriguingly, Zarchi *et al.*,³⁹ had reported the protective effect of a *PRODH* variant (the Tryptophan (Trp) allele of the Arg¹⁸⁵Trp polymorphism) on a neurophysiological measure; mismatch negativity in *COMT*^{Val} 22q11DS patients. As the Trp allele exhibits decreased POX activity *in vitro*,⁴⁰ Zarchi *et al.*, discussed either an opposite effect of this allele *in vivo*, or alternatively that the Arg¹⁸⁵Trp polymorphism is in linkage disequilibrium with another functional SNP;³⁹ in each circumstance likely resulting in increased POX activity and low peripheral proline. Our current study data suggest the opposite to that interpretation: That high proline is actually protective in hemizygous 22q11DS patients with the Val genotype, with regards to mismatch negativity.

Putative CNS roles of proline have been described both in terms of its potential as a neurotransmitter, as suggested by its uptake into and direct synthesis within synaptosomes and its release at the synapse after K+ induced depolarization,^{3,41–43} as well as a neuromodulator of neurotransmitter systems, as suggested by the presence of high-affinity proline transporters in glutamatergic neurons,^{3,44–46} and the enhancements of glutamatergic and prefrontal dopamine transmission in the presence of *Prodh* deficiency and elevated proline.⁸ Moreover, proline metabolism to Δ 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate (P5C) and then glutamate, produces ATP, reactive oxygen species (particularly superoxide radicals), and the conversion of NAD⁺ to NADH, initiating multiple downstream metabolic signaling pathways.^{47,48} Although the mechanism by

alterations in the cell redox state and oxidative stress in conditions of high proline (reviewed in Wyse *et al.*⁴⁹), the *Prodh*-null model,^{7,8} as well as the human hyperprolinemias³ and pyrroline-5carboxylate reductase deficiencies,^{50,51} that elevated proline and/or abnormalities in proline metabolism and biosynthesis, can be detrimental to the CNS.

In schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, presence of the COMT Met allele may further accentuate proline toxicity. For example, in one putative model, enhanced dopamine-transmission in the PFC as a result of excess proline is exacerbated by low COMT activity and concomitant higher prefrontal dopamine availability, ultimately resulting in a frontal hyperdopaminergic state that mimics the Prodh-null mouse⁸ (and reviewed in Drew et al.⁵²). A hyperdopaminergic model influencing negative symptoms is somewhat counterintuitive, given that negative symptoms are generally considered to arise from deficient mesocortical dopamine stimulation. However, COMT is involved in maintaining PFC cognitive stability^{23,53} and in situations of high cortical dopamine concentrations and D₁ receptor stimulation (likely present in Met/ Met and to a lesser degree Val/Met psychiatric patients), enhanced cognitive stability of neuronal network activation has been theorized to result in a cognitive rigidity that may increase the likelihood of negative symptoms.²³

Conversely, we found that proline elevation beneficially influences negative-symptom outcomes in Val/Val patients. In a COMT Val homozygous state, high enzymatic activity in the PFC would likely reduce prefrontal dopamine, limiting D_1 receptor-mediated excitation.^{23,53} Speculatively, proline elevation may increase prefrontal dopamine signaling, through interference with glutamatergic pathways, reducing vulnerability to a prefrontal hypodopaminergic state in Val/Val patients. Although COMT genotype may also interact with proline-induced altered cellular redox and increased oxidative stress, we consider that a parsimonious interpretation of currently available information regarding neuromodulatory effects of proline³ suggests that in the presence of elevated proline, negative symptoms are significantly impacted in conditions of both hyper- or hypodopaminergia, which is consistent with the PFC functioning model theorized by Mattay et al., in which the optimal balance of dopamine signaling falls within a narrow range, following an inverted U-shaped curve.⁵

Interestingly, we found no relationship between *COMT* and proline on positive symptoms in schizophrenia. Positive symptoms are considered to arise from hyperactive subcortical mesolimbic projections, and our finding is consistent with the action of proline in murine cortical but not striatal dopamine potentiation.⁸ In addition, dopamine transporters are relatively sparse in the PFC,⁵⁵ and the removal of dopamine there may be more impacted by COMT activity and the interaction with proline, as compared with subcortical regions.

Some study limitations exist: in the schizophrenia sample, proline was measured and symptoms assessed cross-sectionally. Thus, our findings may be confounded by enrollment differences across genotypes, and one particularly relevant variable to consider regarding this limitation is the duration of illness and how the structure of positive and negative symptoms may change during the course of the illness. In our study, although the duration of illness was higher in Met/Met patients, negative symptoms were not significantly different between genotypes, there was no significant main effect of COMT on negative symptoms, and the length of current hospitalization prior to symptom assessment had no relationship with negative symptoms. Furthermore, the significant proline × COMT interaction remained following analysis performed in a bisected sample of short and long illness durations. Taken together, we consider that the crosssectional nature of the study did not significantly confound our findings. In addition, although the bipolar study allowed initial investigation of symptom change, the bipolar sample size was smaller and negative symptoms were assessed using a subscale of the BPRS. Further research would therefore benefit from a longitudinal approach, investigating the interaction between proline and *COMT* on the change in negative symptoms assessed via the SANS or Positive and Negative Symptom Scale, in a large sample of both schizophrenia and bipolar disorder patients.

Nonetheless, there are currently no medications approved for the treatment of negative symptoms in psychiatric illness, which are associated with poor functional outcomes and quality of life, are highly persistent, and are a great burden for caregivers.² Our finding of a beneficial effect on negative symptoms of high proline in Val/Val inpatients suggests that personalization of treatments based upon a patient's *COMT* genotype, for the purpose of up- or downregulating proline level should be further investigated, as it may hold promise as a pharmacogenomics approach to intervene and target this symptom domain.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This research was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), as follows: R21MH0706019 (JC), R21MH082331 (JC) and R01MH100219 (CC) from the National Institute of Mental Health. In addition, this research was supported in part by New York University CTSA grant (UL1 TR000038) and Columbia University Medical Center CTSA grant (KL2 RR024157: KL2 awardee CC), from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH. CLC and JDC are inventors on two patent applications that are based in part upon this study data. If awarded, the patents will be owned by their respective institutions, and CLC and JDC declare no other financial relationships that are directly or indirectly related to this work. The remaining authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ellie DeCandia RN and the nursing staff of the New York University CTSI, for their invaluable assistance during this study.

REFERENCES

- 1 Keshavan MS, Nasrallah HA, Tandon R. Schizophrenia, "Just the Facts" 6. Moving ahead with the schizophrenia concept: from the elephant to the mouse. *Schizophr Res* 2011; **127**: 3–13.
- 2 Blanchard JJ, Kring AM, Horan WP, Gur R. Toward the next generation of negative symptom assessments: the collaboration to advance negative symptom assessment in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 2011; **37**: 291–299.
- 3 Phang JM, Hu CA, Valle D. Disorders of proline and hydroxyproline metabolism. In: Scriver CR, Beaudet AL, Sly WS, Valle D. *Metabolic and Molecular Basis of Inherited Disease*. McGraw-Hill Press: New York, 2001, pp 1821–1838.
- 4 Dingman W, Sporn MB. The penetration of proline and proline derivatives into brain. J Neurochem 1959; **4**: 148–153.
- 5 Efrom ML. Familial hyperprolinemia. Report of a second case, associated with congenital renal malformations, hereditary hematuria and mild mental retardation, with demonstration of an enzyme defect. N Engl J Med 1965; 272: 1243–1254.
- 6 Baxter CF, Baldwin RA, Davis JL, Flood JF. High proline levels in the brains of mice as related to specific learning deficits. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 1985; 22: 1053–1059.
- 7 Gogos JA, Santha M, Takacs Z, Beck KD, Luine V, Lucas LR *et al*. The gene encoding proline dehydrogenase modulates sensorimotor gating in mice. *Nat Genet* 1999; 21: 434–439.
- 8 Paterlini M, Zakharenko SS, Lai WS, Qin J, Zhang H, Mukai J et al. Transcriptional and behavioral interaction between 22q11.2 orthologs modulates schizophreniarelated phenotypes in mice. *Nat Neurosci* 2005; 8: 1586–1594.
- 9 Luykx JJ, Bakker SC, van Boxmeer L, Vinkers CH, Smeenk HE, Visser WF et al. D-amino acid aberrations in cerebrospinal fluid and plasma of smokers. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2013; **38**: 2019–2026.
- 10 Tomiya M, Fukushima T, Watanabe H, Fukami G, Fujisaki M, Iyo M et al. Alterations in serum amino acid concentrations in male and female schizophrenic patients. *Clin Chim Acta* 2007; **380**: 186–190.
- 11 Clelland CL, Read LL, Baraldi AN, Bart CP, Pappas CA, Panek LJ et al. Evidence for association of hyperprolinemia with schizophrenia and a measure of clinical outcome. Schizophr Res 2011; 131: 139–145.

- 12 Orešič M, Tang J, Seppänen-Laakso T, Mattila I, Saarni SE, Saarni SI et al. Metabolome in schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders: a general populationbased study. Genome Med 2011; 3: 19.
- 13 Liu H, Heath SC, Sobin C, Roos JL, Galke BL, Blundell ML et al. Genetic variation at the 22q11 PRODH2/DGCR6 locus presents an unusual pattern and increases susceptibility to schizophrenia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002; 99: 3717–3722.
- 14 Roussos P, Giakoumaki SG, Bitsios P. A risk PRODH haplotype affects sensorimotor gating, memory, schizotypy, and anxiety in healthy male subjects. *Biol Psychiatry* 2009; 65: 1063–1070.
- 15 Karayiorgou M, Simon TJ, Gogos JA. 22q11.2 microdeletions: linking DNA structural variation to brain dysfunction and schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2010; 11: 402–416.
- 16 Baker KD, Skuse DH. Adolescents and young adults with 22q11 deletion syndrome: psychopathology in an at-risk group. Br J Psychiatry 2005; 186: 115–120.
- 17 Fine SE, Weissman A, Gerdes M, Pinto-Martin J, Zackai EH, McDonald-McGinn DM et al. Autism spectrum disorders and symptoms in children with molecularly confirmed 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. J Autism Dev Disord 2005; 35: 461–470.
- 18 Gothelf D, Presburger G, Zohar AH, Burg M, Nahmani A, Frydman M et al. Obsessive-compulsive disorder in patients with velocardiofacial (22q11 deletion) syndrome. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2004; 126B: 99–105.
- 19 Schneider M, Debbané M, Bassett AS, Chow EW, Fung WL, van den Bree M et al. Psychiatric disorders from childhood to adulthood in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: results from the International Consortium on Brain and Behavior in 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome. Am J Psychiatry 2014; **171**: 627–639.
- 20 Raux G, Bumsel E, Hecketsweiler B, van Amelsvoort T, Zinkstok J, Manouvrier-Hanu S et al. Involvement of hyperprolinemia in cognitive and psychiatric features of the 22q11 deletion syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet* 2007; 16: 83–91.
- 21 Lachman HM, Papolos DF, Saito T, Yu YM, Szumlanski CL, Weinshilboum RM. Human catechol-O-methyltransferase pharmacogenetics: description of a functional polymorphism and its potential application to neuropsychiatric disorders. *Pharmacogenetics* 1996; **6**: 243–250.
- 22 Chen J, Lipska BK, Halim N, Ma QD, Matsumoto M, Melhem S et al. Functional analysis of genetic variation in catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT): effects on mRNA, protein, and enzyme activity in postmortem human brain. Am J Hum Genet 2004; 75: 807–821.
- 23 Bilder RM, Volavka J, Lachman HM, Grace AA. The catechol-O-methyltransferase polymorphism: relations to the tonic-phasic dopamine hypothesis and neuropsychiatric phenotypes. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2004; 29: 1943–1961.
- 24 Shifman S, Bronstein M, Sternfeld M, Pisanté-Shalom A, Lev-Lehman E, Weizman A *et al.* A highly significant association between a COMT haplotype and schizophrenia. *Am J Hum Genet* 2002; **71**: 1296–1302.
- 25 Shifman S, Bronstein M, Sternfeld M, Pisanté A, Weizman A, Reznik I et al. COMT: a common susceptibility gene in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2004; **128B**: 61–64.
- 26 Allen NC, Bagade S, McQueen MB, Ioannidis JP, Kavvoura FK, Khoury MJ et al. Systematic meta-analyses and field synopsis of genetic association studies in schizophrenia: the SzGene database. Nat Genet 2008; 40: 827–834.
- 27 Vorstman JA, Turetsky BI, Sijmens-Morcus ME, de Sain MG, Dorland B, Sprong M et al. Proline affects brain function in 22q11DS children with the low activity COMT 158 allele. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2009; **34**: 739–746.
- 28 Magnée MJ, Lamme VA, de Sain-van der Velden MG, Vorstman JA, Kemner C. Proline and COMT status affect visual connectivity in children with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *PLoS ONE* 2011; 6: e25882.
- 29 Lindenmayer JP, Bossie CA, Kujawa M, Zhu Y, Canuso CM. Dimensions of psychosis in patients with bipolar mania as measured by the positive and negative syndrome scale. *Psychopathology* 2008; **41**: 264–270.
- 30 Fernandez-Garcimartin H, Bagney A, Moreno-Ortega M, Dompablo M, Torio I, Lobo A et al. Is it possible to combine different psychotic symptom scales in bipolar disorder? Psychiatry Res 2014; 220: 1090–1093.
- 31 Jacquet H, Demily C, Houy E, Hecketsweiler B, Bou J, Raux G et al. Hyperprolinemia is a risk factor for schizoaffective disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* 2005; 10: 479–485.
- 32 Kane J, Honigfeld G, Singer J, Meltzer H. Clozapine for the treatment-resistant schizophrenic. A double-blind comparison with chlorpromazine. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1988; 45: 789–796.
- 33 Goghari VM, Sponheim SR. Differential association of the COMT Val158Met polymorphism with clinical phenotypes in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Schizophr Res* 2008; **103**: 186–191.
- 34 Joober R, Gauthier J, Lal S, Bloom D, Lalonde P, Rouleau G *et al.* Catechol-Omethyltransferase Val-108/158-Met gene variants associated with performance on the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2002; **59**: 662–663.

- 35 Roxanas MG, Hunt GE. Rapid reversal of corticosteroid-induced mania with sodium valproate: a case series of 20 patients. *Psychosomatics* 2012; 53: 575–581.
- 36 Hidding E, Swaab H, de Sonneville LM, van Engeland H, Vorstman JA. The role of COMT and plasma proline in the variable penetrance of autistic spectrum symptoms in 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome. *Clin Genet* (e-pub ahead of print 26 February 2016; doi:10.1111/cge.12766).
- 37 Barneveld PS, Pieterse J, de Sonneville L, van Rijn S, Lahuis B, van Engeland H et al. Overlap of autistic and schizotypal traits in adolescents with Autism Spectrum Disorders. Schizophr Res 2011; 126: 231–236.
- 38 Chisholm K, Lin A, Abu-Akel A, Wood SJ. The association between autism and schizophrenia spectrum disorders: A review of eight alternate models of co-occurrence. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2015; 55: 173–183.
- 39 Zarchi O, Carmel M, Avni C, Attias J, Frisch A, Michaelovsky E et al. Schizophrenialike neurophysiological abnormalities in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome and their association to COMT and PRODH genotypes. J Psychiatr Res 2013; 47: 1623–1629.
- 40 Bender HU, Almashanu S, Steel G, Hu CA, Lin WW, Willis A et al. Functional consequences of PRODH missense mutations. Am J Hum Genet 2005; 76: 409–420.
- 41 Nickolson VJ. "On" and "off" responses of K+-induced synaptosomal proline release: involvement of the sodium pump. J Neurochem 1982; 38: 289–292.
- 42 Yoneda Y, Roberts E. A new synaptosomal biosynthetic pathway of proline from ornithine and its negative feedback inhibition by proline. *Brain Res* 1982; 239: 479–488.
- 43 Nadler JV. Sodium-dependent proline uptake in the rat hippocampal formation: association with ipsilateral-commissural projections of CA3 pyramidal cells. *J Neurochem* 1987; **49**: 1155–1160.
- 44 Renick SE, Kleven DT, Chan J, Stenius K, Milner TA, Pickel *et al.* The mammalian brain high-affinity L-proline transporter is enriched preferentially in synaptic vesicles in a subpopulation of excitatory nerve terminals in rat forebrain. *J Neurosci* 1999; **19**: 21–33.
- 45 Cohen SM, Nadler JV. Proline-induced potentiation of glutamate transmission. *Brain Res* 1997; **761**: 271–282.
- 46 Cohen SM, Nadler JV. Proline-induced inhibition of glutamate release in hippocampal area CA1. *Brain Res* 1997; **769**: 333–339.
- 47 Phang JM, Donald SP, Pandhare J, Liu Y. The metabolism of proline, a stress substrate, modulates carcinogenic pathways. *Amino Acids* 2008; 35: 681–690.
- 48 Phang JM, Liu W, Zabirnyk O. Proline metabolism and microenvironmental stress. Annu Rev Nutr 2010; 30: 441–463.
- 49 Wyse AT, Netto CA. Behavioral and neurochemical effects of proline. *Metab Brain Dis* 2011; **26**: 159–172.
- 50 Dimopoulou A, Fischer B, Gardeitchik T, Schröter P, Kayserili H, Schlack C et al. Genotype-phenotype spectrum of PYCR1-related autosomal recessive cutis laxa. *Mol Genet Metab* 2013; **110**: 352–361.
- 51 Nakayama T, Al-Maawali A, El-Quessny M, Rajab A, Khalil S, Stoler JM et al. Mutations in PYCR2, encoding pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase 2, cause microcephaly and hypomyelination. Am J Hum Genet 2015; **96**: 709–719.
- 52 Drew LJ, Crabtree GW, Markx S, Stark KL, Chaverneff F, Xu B et al. The 22q11.2 microdeletion: fifteen years of insights into the genetic and neural complexity of psychiatric disorders. Int J Dev Neurosci 2011; 29: 259–281.
- 53 Tunbridge EM, Harrison PJ, Weinberger DR. Catechol-o-methyltransferase, cognition, and psychosis: Val158Met and beyond. *Biol Psychiatry* 2006; 60: 141–151.
- 54 Mattay VS, Goldberg TE, Fera F, Hariri AR, Tessitore A, Egan MF et al. Catechol O-methyltransferase val158-met genotype and individual variation in the brain response to amphetamine. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003; 100: 6186–6191.
- 55 Lewis DA, Melchitzky DS, Sesack SR, Whitehead RE, Auh S, Sampson A. Dopamine transporter immunoreactivity in monkey cerebral cortex: regional, laminar, and ultrastructural localization. J Comp Neurol 2001; 432: 119–136.
- 56 Yohai VJ. High breakdown-point and high efficiency robust estimates for regression. Ann Stat 1987; 15: 642–656.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2016

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on the Translational Psychiatry website (http://www.nature.com/tp)

8